Team Work Products Being Evaluated: **Project 1 (Assignment #L3-E)**

1. Work Evaluated by Assessment Symbol/Color Date
2. Work Evaluated by Assessment Symbol/Color Date
3. Work Evaluated by Assessment Symbol/Color Date
4. Work Evaluated by Assessment Symbol/Color Date

**This Checklist must be completed (self assessed) before submit ion for assessment** (the **checklist** is included)

| **Yes** | **No** | **Self Regulation Issues** | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | 1. The work meets the expectations for the Presentation of Technical Work (the **checklist** is included) | |
|  |  | 1. There are **no** *small* problems with the Table of Contents (TOC) | |
|  |  | 1. There were no other Self Regulation Problems (describe if no ) | |
|  | | Enter a **1** (one) in the cell to left if any of the items listed above are marked as **No** | |
| Comments on Self Regulation Issues | | | |
| **Yes** | **No** | | **Expected Features** |
|  |  | | 1. The work meets expectations for the Presentation of Technical Work. |
|  |  | | 1. The TOC has been updated to reflect the new work. (Page numbers are shown for all tasks and sub tasks; page numbers are right justified; only the page number for first page of work is shown.) |
|  |  | | 1. There is a completed correct KTPPA Table. |
|  |  | | 1. There is a completed Bill of Materials (BOM). |
|  |  | | 1. There is an assembly plan. |
|  |  | | 1. There is explicit evidence that material from the KTPPA table was used in the final assembly plan. |
|  |  | | 1. **Are there any Impacts on environment (If Yes suggest mitigation measures)”EIS STATEMENT”** |
|  |  | | 1. **Are there any Social and cultural Impacts (If Yes suggest the mitigation measures)** |
|  |  | | 1. The level of communication "noise", which consists of grammatical, spelling, syntax, etc. errors, is acceptably low. |
| **Comments on Expected Features and how any problems might be resolved** | | | |

| **Wow** | **Ok** | **Weak** | **Revealed Features** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Rate the quality of the notebook organization (e.g., use of unique page numbers, reference to other work in the notebook, sense of continuous work on a single large project consisting of numerous tasks, etc.) |
|  |  |  | Rate the quality of the KTPPA Table (number of problems, good preventative actions – “realistic”, good contingency actions – not preventative) |
|  |  |  | Rate the quality of the Assembly Plan (completeness, interesting features, appropriate use of technology, earlier versions of plan with improvements) |
|  |  |  | Rate the quality of Discussion of the Assembly Plan Testing (L3e) |
|  |  |  | Rate the quality of discussion of Environmental Impact Statement and Social and cultural Impact |
|  |  |  | Rate the quality of Discussion for the entire project (L3) |
|  |  |  | Rate the quality of team meeting material (e.g., explicit roles, agendas, meeting minutes, team processing, etc. – there needs to be some amount of this material to get at least **Ok**) |

| **Yes** | **Exciting Features** |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

**Results of Initial Assessment[[1]](#footnote-0)**

| **E** | **M** | **NI** | **NCE**  **NS** | **SR-Lapse** | 1. **E**, **all** Yes’s for the Expected Features, **no** Weak’s for the Revealed Features, and **several** Wow’s and/or Exciting Features 2. **M**, **all** Yes’s for the Expected Features, **only a few** Weak’s for the Revealed Features 3. **NI**, **any** No’s for Expected Requirements or **mostly** Weak’s for the Revealed Features 4. **NCE**, there is little to no (**NS**) work to be assessed |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |

1. The results of subsequent assessments are on resubmission of work checklists found preceding this checklist [↑](#footnote-ref-0)